Nuclear localization signal deletion mutants of lamin A and progerin reveal insights into lamin A processing and emerin targeting
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Lamin A is a major component of the lamina, which creates a dynamic network underneath the nuclear envelope. Mutations in the lamin A gene (LMNA) cause severe genetic disorders, one of which is Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS), a disease triggered by a dominant mutant named progerin. Unlike the wild-type lamin A, whose farnesylated C-terminus is excised during post-translational processing, progerin retains its farnesy tail and accumulates on the nuclear membrane, resulting in abnormal nuclear morphology during interphase. In addition, membrane-associated progerin forms visible cytoplasmic aggregates in mitosis. To examine the potential effects of cytoplasmic progerin, nuclear localization signal (NLS) deleted progerin and lamin A (PGΔNLS and LADΔNLS, respectively) have been constructed. We find that both ΔNLS mutants are farnesylated in the cytosol and associate with a sub-domain of the ER via their farnesyl tails. While the farnesylation on LADΔNLS can be gradually removed, which leads to its subsequent release from the ER into the cytoplasm, PGΔNLS remains permanently farnesylated and membrane-bounded. Moreover, both ΔNLS mutants dominantly affect emerin’s nuclear localization. These results reveal new insights into lamin A biogenesis and lamin A-emerin interaction.

Introduction

Lamin A, encoded by the LMNA gene, is a major component of the nuclear lamina in animal cells. As a type V intermediate filament, lamin A forms a dynamic network underneath the inner nuclear membrane (INM), providing mechanical support to the nuclear envelope. Besides the structural function, lamin A has been suggested to play essential roles in cell regulation, including chromatin organization, transcription, and apoptosis. These roles are at least partially accomplished by direct or indirect interactions with chromosomes and various nuclear regulators, including emerin, an integral protein of the INM.

Similar to other intermediate filament proteins, lamin A contains a short globular N-terminal head domain, a central α-helical coiled-coil rod domain, and a long globular C-terminal tail domain. In addition, between the central rod domain and C-terminal tail domain, lamin A has a nuclear localization signal sequence (NLS), which signals its nuclear residence. Moreover, a CaaX motif (C, cysteine; a, aliphatic amino acid; X, any amino acid) is located at the C-terminus of lamin A, with an exact sequence of CSIM (cysteine-serine-isoleucine-methionine).

It has been shown that proper processing of the CaaX motif is critical for membrane association, localization, and functionality of lamin A. After the DNA sequence is transcribed and translated into the lamin A precursor protein (prelamin A), the cysteine in the CSIM motif is farnesylated by a farnesyltransferase (FTase), followed by the removal of SIM by ZMPSTE24 and carboxylmethylolation by Icmt. In the last step, the final 15 amino acids including the farnesylated C-terminus of prelamin A are excised by ZMPSTE24 to allow the release of mature lamin A from the INM. ZMPSTE24 is an integral membrane zinc metalloprotease, which has a dual affinity to both the INM and the cytosolic ER membrane, and the INM has been shown to be the physiologically relevant compartment for prelamin A processing.

A wide range of human disorders known as laminopathies are associated with mutations within LMNA, among which Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS) has the most striking premature aging phenotypes. HGPS is extremely rare, affecting 1 in 4–8 million live births. The patients appear normal at birth, but gradually show symptoms of accelerated aging after 12 mo, and often die of heart attacks or strokes in their early teens. The culprit of HGPS is a lamin A mutant known as progerin which is caused by a de novo nucleotide substitution from C to T at position 1824 of LMNA. The mutation changes no amino acid (G608G), but induces a cryptic splicing donor site that generates a 150-nucleotide deletion on the mRNA.
sequence. The resulting progerin protein thus bears a 50-amino acid in-frame deletion that lacks the normal cleavage site of ZMPSSTE24 for C-terminal farnesyl group release.\textsuperscript{41,43} Therefore, progerin permanently retains the farnesylated C-terminus and remains associated with the nuclear membrane, eliciting nuclear blebbings and other nuclear abnormalities in HGPS patient cells, including disrupted heterochromatin-lamin interactions and alterations in gene transcription.\textsuperscript{11,17,44} Inhibiting farnesylation of progerin with farnesyltransferase inhibitors (FTIs) or mutating CSIM into non-farnesylable SSIM relocates progerin away from the nuclear envelope (NE) and alleviates the prominent nuclear phenotypes.\textsuperscript{27,29,45,46}

Previously, we have reported that the anchorage of progerin to the INM disrupts the normal NE disassembly during mitosis, leading to an accumulation of progerin-membrane aggregates in mitosis.\textsuperscript{44,47} Importantly, there is a noticeable delay in the recruitment of progerin back to the nucleus at the end of mitosis.\textsuperscript{44,47} To investigate the possible effects of the cytoplasmic progerin, we created nuclear localization signal (NLS)-deleted progerin and lamin A (PG\textsubscript{NLS} and LA\textsubscript{NLS}, respectively). Analysis of these mutants has revealed new insights into lamin A processing and emerin targeting.

**Results**

**Deletion of NLS directs lamin A and progerin to the ER**

In the current study, the NLS sequence (AAAAAGCGCA AACTGGAG) was deleted from lamin A (LA) and progerin (PG) cDNA sequences using a PCR-mediated mutagenesis method.\textsuperscript{44} These newly generated DNA segments were sequenced and sub-cloned into a pEGFP-C1 plasmid for expression (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1). To examine the proteins’ sizes, we performed western blot analyses on transiently transfected HeLa cells with EGFP-LA, EGFP-PG, EGFP-LA\textsubscript{NLS} or EGFP-PG\textsubscript{NLS} plasmids. As expected, the sizes of EGFP-tagged NLS-deleted progerin and lamin A (PG\textsubscript{NLS} and LA\textsubscript{NLS}, respectively). The resulting progerin protein thus bears a 50-amino acid in-frame deletion that lacks the normal cleavage site of ZMPSSTE24 for C-terminal farnesyl group release. The NLS sequence (AAAAAGCGCA AACTGGAG) was deleted from lamin A (LA) and progerin (PG) cDNA sequences using a PCR-mediated mutagenesis method. These newly generated DNA segments were sequenced and sub-cloned into a pEGFP-C1 plasmid for expression (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1). To examine the proteins’ sizes, we performed western blot analyses on transiently transfected HeLa cells with EGFP-LA, EGFP-PG, EGFP-LA\textsubscript{NLS} or EGFP-PG\textsubscript{NLS} plasmids. As expected, the sizes of EGFP-tagged NLS-deleted progerin and lamin A (PG\textsubscript{NLS} and LA\textsubscript{NLS}, respectively). To elucidate the cytosolic localization of these NLS mutants, we co-stained the EGFP-tagged NLS mutants with the anti-KDEL and anti-GM130 antibodies, markers for the ER and Golgi apparatus respectively.\textsuperscript{49,50} Microscopic analysis revealed that these mutant aggregates co-localized with a sub-domain of the ER while no overlaps were identified between LA\textsubscript{NLS} or PG\textsubscript{NLS} and the Golgi marker GM130 (Fig. 1D). In addition, we observed a complete overlap between the signals of DsRed-LA\textsubscript{NLS} and EGFP-PG\textsubscript{NLS} at 24 h post transfection (Fig. S3), suggesting that both NLS mutants localized to the same ER sub-domain. Consistently, FRAP experiments suggested a comparably slow motion of these ER-associated LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS} (Fig. S4). In summary, we found that without the NLS, both lamin A and progerin immediately attached to a sub-domain of the ER after being synthesized.

The C-terminal farnesyl group tethers LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS} to the ER membrane

To understand why LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS} showed affinities to the ER, we hypothesized that both NLS mutants were farnesylated at the C-terminus, which tethered these proteins to the ER membrane.

To test this hypothesis, we first determined the farnesylation status of LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS} with a Click chemistry assay on transfected HeLa cells (see Methods). As expected,\textsuperscript{49,53} wild type mature lamin A was not farnesylated while progerin showed positive farnesylation signals due to its inability to be cleaved by ZMPSSTE24 (Fig. 2A and B). Notably, farnesylation signals were detected in both LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS}, but the signal of LA\textsubscript{NLS} was significantly weaker compare with that of PG\textsubscript{NLS} (Fig. 2A and B). We reason that the difference in farnesylation levels between LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS} is likely caused by the cleavage of the C-terminal farnesyl group of LA\textsubscript{NLS} by the ER-associated ZMPSSTE24, as ZMPSSTE24 has been demonstrated to be a dually localized protein on both the ER membrane and the INM.\textsuperscript{49} Supporting this notion, we observed a gradual increase of diffuse cytoplasmic LA\textsubscript{NLS} with time, which likely represented the cleaved form of LA\textsubscript{NLS} (Fig. S2).

To further test this idea, we asked whether blocking the farnesylation of LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS} could lead to dissociation from the ER membrane. It has been shown that farnesylation is abolished when the C-terminal sequence of CSIM on lamin A and progerin is mutated into SSIM.\textsuperscript{29,45,51} Thus, we generated the SSIM\textsubscript{NLS} double mutants of lamin A and progerin (LA\textsubscript{SimNLS} and PG\textsubscript{SimNLS}). As expected, the SSIM mutation alone directed lamin A and progerin into the nucleoplasm (Fig. 2C). Importantly, when the two features NLS and SSIM were combined, the proteins were released from the ER into the cytoplasm (Fig. 2C), validating the idea that the farnesyl groups on the C-termini of LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS} tethered them to the ER membrane.

Additional support was obtained with a drug-treatment experiment using farnesyltransferase inhibitors (FTIs). When we blocked farnesylation with FTIs at 4 h post transfection for 16 h, we observed that the non-farnesylated LA\textsubscript{NLS} and PG\textsubscript{NLS} became soluble in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3).
Nuclear targeting of emerin is disrupted by LAΔNLS and PGΔNLS

It has been suggested that emerin localization is dependent on A-type lamins. Thus, we investigated whether the distribution of emerin was altered by the ΔNLS mutants. In control LA and PG transfected HeLa cells, as expected, most of the endogenous emerin co-localized with LA or PG to the nuclear rim, outlining the shape of the nucleus (Fig. 4A, first and third panels). However, in the ΔNLS mutant transfected cells, emerin became cytosolic and colocalized with the ΔNLS mutants to a sub-domain of the ER (Fig. 4A, second and fourth panels), suggesting that emerin’s nuclear localization is dependent primarily on lamin A. Notably, emerin’s normal nuclear localization appeared to be more disrupted by PGΔNLS than by LAΔNLS, as the nuclear rim...
staining of emerin was almost absent in PGΔNLS transfected cells as it was still visible in LAΔNLS expressing cells (Fig. 4A, second and fourth panels).

To determine the potential physical interactions between emerin and the ΔNLS mutants, an immunoprecipitation (IP) experiment was performed using GFP-Trap beads. Un-transfected HeLa cells were used as a control. We found that emerin co-precipitated with EGFP-LA, EGFP-PG, EGFP-LAΔNLS or EGFP-PGΔNLS and labeled with Click-iT farnesyl alcohol, followed by precipitation with GFP-Trap beads and detection with 647 alkyne. Strong farnesylation signals appeared in PG and PGΔNLS (PGΔ) lanes, and weak but detectable farnesylation showed in LAΔNLS (LAΔ) lane. (B) Quantification of farnesylation levels in (A). The relative farnesylation level was calculated as the ratio of the farnesylation signal to the corresponding IP’ed protein signal. (C) Confocal fluorescence images of LAΔNLS and PGΔNLS. Immunofluorescence was performed 24 h after transfection. Confocal images show EGFP (green), lamin B1 (red), and DNA (blue). A representative cell under each condition is shown. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Figure 2. C-terminal farnesyl group tethers LAΔNLS and PGΔNLS to the ER membrane. (A) Click chemistry analysis. HeLa cells were transfected with EGFP-LA, EGFP-PG, EGFP-LAΔNLS or EGFP-PGΔNLS and labeled with Click-iT farnesyl alcohol, followed by precipitation with GFP-Trap beads and detection with 647 alkyne. Strong farnesylation signals appeared in PG and PGΔNLS (PGΔ) lanes, and weak but detectable farnesylation showed in LAΔNLS (LAΔ) lane. (B) Quantification of farnesylation levels in (A). The relative farnesylation level was calculated as the ratio of the farnesylation signal to the corresponding IP’ed protein signal. (C) Confocal fluorescence images of LAΔNLS and PGΔNLS. Immunofluorescence was performed 24 h after transfection. Confocal images show EGFP (green), lamin B1 (red), and DNA (blue). A representative cell under each condition is shown. Scale bar, 10 μm.
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The processing of prelamin A by the INM and ER localized ZMPSTE24

ZMPSTE24, as one of the key players in the lamin A maturation process, is an integral membrane protein.37-39 The cytosolic face of the ER membrane was considered its primary residency until recently when Barrowman and colleagues clearly demonstrated that ZMPSTE24 was also localized to the INM, and that the nucleus was the physiologically relevant compartment where the C-terminal cleavage of prelamin A occurred.39

In this study, we generate the cytoplasmic-resident lamin A mutant LAΔNLS. This mutant rapidly
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Discussion

The processing of prelamin A by the INM and ER localized ZMPSTE24

ZMPSTE24, as one of the key players in the lamin A maturation process, is an integral membrane protein.37-39 The cytosolic face of the ER membrane was considered its primary residency until recently when Barrowman and colleagues clearly demonstrated that ZMPSTE24 was also localized to the INM, and that the nucleus was the physiologically relevant compartment where the C-terminal cleavage of prelamin A occurred.39

In this study, we generate the cytoplasmic-resident lamin A mutant LAΔNLS. This mutant rapidly
tethers to a sub-domain of the ER via its farnesyl tail after being synthesized on the ribosomes (Fig. 1 and 2). Notably, we find that over a course of 72 h post transfection, the ER-associated LAΔNLS becomes gradually released into the cytoplasm (Fig. 3), which is likely to be resulted from the removal of the farnesylated C-terminus. In support of this notion, we detect a reduced level of farnesylation in LAΔNLS compared with PΓΔNLS, and the double mutant LAssimΔNLS and PGssimΔNLS and FTI treatment experiments further support that the cytoplasmic soluble fraction of LAΔNLS is not farnesylated (Fig. 2 and 3). Based on the previous finding that ZMPSTE24 is a dually localized enzyme,39 we would like to suggest that the cleavage of LAΔNLS's farnesylated tail is executed by the ER-associated ZMPSTE24.

Interestingly, the Click Chemistry labeling experiment reveals unexpected differences in enzymatic activities of the ER-associated and the INM-associated ZMPSTE24. As shown in Figure 2A and B, the processing of the wild-type lamin A is achieved in an extremely rapid manner on the INM, leading to no detection of the farnesylated prelamin A. In contrast, the processing of the ER-associated LAΔNLS by the ER-associated ZMPSTE24 appears to be much slower, which resulted in a clearly detectable fraction of the farnsylated LAΔNLS at 48 h post transfection.

Previously, Barrowman and colleagues have examined the ZMPSTE24 processing kinetics of a lamin A-tail construct that is fused with a large carrier protein HA-pyruvate kinase either with or without the NLS.39 Without the NLS, the lamin A-tail construct produced a cytosolic protein.39 Consistently with our observation, Barrowman and colleagues found that ZMPSTE24 was functional in both the INM and the ER locations.39 However, they found that the rate of ZMPSTE24 processing of this lamin A fusion protein was quite similar in both locations.39 The potential differences in the two studies may be caused by many variables including differential access of membrane-bound proteins vs. cytosolic proteins and differential enzymatic activity of ZMPSTE24 to LAΔNLS vs. Pyruvate kinase-lamin A tail fusion protein. Future studies, with controls of these variables, will be required to directly compare the processing kinetics of the ER and INM localized ZMPSTE24 to lamin A.

Emerin nuclear localization is disrupted by PΓΔNLS

Mutations and aberrant targeting of emerin cause a number of diseases including muscular dystrophy, cardiomyopathy and Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy, which is characterized by muscle weakening, contractures of major tendons and potentially lethal cardiac defects.22.55-57 Emerin primarily localizes to the INM. Previously, Ostlund and colleagues suggested that the N-terminal nucleoplasmic domain of emerin was both necessary...
and sufficient for targeting emerin to the INM. However, using SW13 cells that did not express lamin A, Vaughan et al. showed that the INM localization of emerin was dependent on the lamin A complex containing both lamins A and B. In support of this argument, it has been shown biochemically that emerin is in complexes with both A and B type lamins.

In this study, we compartmentalize B type lamins and endogenous lamin A/C to the nucleus and LADΔNLS and PGΔNLS to the ER. With this geographic separation, we compare the effects of LADΔNLS, PGΔNLS, and endogenous lamins on emerin’s nuclear targeting. Our analyses reveal that at the presence of wildtype lamins A, B, and C, a large fraction of endogenous emerin is recruited to ER-localized (ΔNLS) lamin A or progerin (Fig. 4A). This recruitment is stronger for ER-localized (ΔNLS) progerin, which appears to remove all endogenous emerin from the nuclear envelope (Fig. 4A). In support, the IP experiment further suggests that emerin exhibits a stronger binding affinity to progerin or PGΔNLS than to lamin A or LADΔNLS, respectively (Fig. 4B and C). It remains to be determined whether this phenotype is general to other INM proteins. Future work will focus on determining whether additional INM proteins, including the endogenous lamin A, are affected by the ER-localized progerin. Given the emerging roles of the emerin-lamin A complex in regulating muscle- and heart-specific gene expression, we hope that these new insights gained from this study will promote a better understanding of gene misregulation in muscular dystrophy and cardiomyopathy.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid construction

Plasmids of pEGFP-C1-LADΔNLS, pEGFP-C1-PGΔNLS, pEGFP-C1-LASSIMΔNLS, and pEGFP-C1-PGSSIMΔNLS were constructed based on the pEGFP-C1 vector (Clontech). The NLS sequence (AAAAACGCA AACTGGAG) was removed from cDNA of Lamin A (LA), progerin (PG), LA-SSIM, and PG-SSIM by PCR splicing. Primers used were two targeting each ends of LMNA, LMNA 5F (5′-AGACCGCGTCC CAGCGGCGGC-3′), and LMNA 3R (5′-CTGCTGACTCT CATTACTGTA TGCTGAGT CTG-3′), and two flanking NLS regions complementary each.

Figure 4. Disrupted emerin localization in cells expressing LADΔNLS or PGΔNLS. (A) Confocal fluorescence images. HeLa cells transiently expressing EGFP-LA, EGFP-PG, EGFP-LADΔNLS or EGFP-PGΔNLS (green) were fixed and stained with anti-emerin (red) at 24 h post transfection. A representative cell under each condition is shown. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) IP with GFP-Trap®_A beads in the transfected HeLa cells. Un-transfected HeLa cells were used as a control (CT). (C) Relative intensity of emerin to EGFP-tagged proteins in each immunoprecipitated sample. Band intensities were analyzed using ImageJ. Relative intensities were presented as the ratio of emerin to EGFP. Two biological duplicates were conducted. A representative experiment was shown.
other, LMNA 5R (5′-TGGCAGGTCTC AGTGAGGTTG ACCTGTCACC-3′) and LMNA 3F (5′-GGCCAGGGTC ACCCTCCACTG AGACGGCA-3′). The 5′- and 3′- regions of LMNA were amplified using primer pairs “LMNA 5F + LMNA 5R” and “LMNA 3F + LMNA 3R” respectively, followed by a second amplification using the overlapping 5′- and 3′-fragments as templates to generate NLS-deleted sequences. The NLS deleted sequences were then sub-cloned into the Ascl and Xbal sites of pEGFP-C1. A plasmid of pDsRed-monomer-C1-LA was created based on the pDsRed-monomer-C1 vector (Clontech). The full-length of lamin A, progerin, LAΔNLS or PGΔNLS was amplified using LMNA 5F and LMNA 3R, followed by sub-cloning into the BspEI and BamHI sites of pDsRed-monomer-C1.

Cell culture, transfection, and FTI treatment
HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM (Lanza) containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS (BenchMark) at 37 °C supplied with 5% CO₂. Approximately 1.5 × 10⁵ cells were seeded and incubated at 37 °C for one day, then transfected with 2 µg of the designated plasmids using FuGENE® 6 Transfection Reagent (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. In the FTI treatment experiment, FTI (J&J) at a final concentration of 2 µM was added to culture media at 4 h after transfection for 16 h.

Antibodies
The antibodies used in western blotting analysis, immunofluorescence, and immunoprecipitation were: mouse anti-human Lamin A/C (MAB3211, Millipore), goat anti-Lamin A/C (N-18, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), goat anti-Lamin B (sc-6217, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-β-Actin peroxidase conjugated (A3854, Sigma), mouse anti-KDEL (ab12223, Abcam), mouse anti-GM130 (610822, BD Transduction Laboratories), rabbit anti-emerin (ab14208–20, Abcam), mouse anti-γ-tubulin (019K4794, Sigma).

Western blotting
Cell pellets were dissolved in Laemmli Sample Buffer containing 5% β-mercaptoethanol (Bio-Rad) to obtain whole cell lysates. Protein samples were then electrophoretically resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and subsequently transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad) for primary and secondary antibodies detection. Bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce® ECL Western Blotting Substrate; Thermo SCIENTIFIC). Quantification was performed by ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda).

Immunofluorescence microscopy
HeLa cells cultured on glass-bottom dishes were washed twice with tris-buffered saline (TBS) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min at room temperature. After being washed twice with TBS, cells were blocked in 4% BSA/TBS for 1 h, and probed with the primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The cells were then washed five times with TBS, followed by secondary antibody incubation at room temperature for 1 h in the dark. Secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor® 594 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor® 594 donkey anti-goat IgG (Invitrogen) and Alexa Fluor® 594 donkey anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen). After being washed five times with TBS, the cells were stained with DAPI and mounted using VECTASHIELD® Mounting Medium with DAPI (H-1200, VECTOR). Immunofluorescence microscopy was performed on a Leica SP5 X Confocal Microscope (Leica Microsystems, Inc).

Immunoprecipitation (IP)
At 24 h after transfection, the transfected HeLa cell pellets were lysed in ice-cold 1% Triton buffer (1% Triton, 50 mM Tirs pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 X protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]), and then centrifuged at 2700 g at 4 °C for 10 min to obtain supernatants. EGF-tagged proteins were immunoprecipitated from the supernatants with GFP-Trap®_A beads (Chromotek) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Both input supernatants and immunoprecipitates were then resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and subsequently transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad) for staining with primary and secondary antibodies.

Click chemistry assay
At 15 h post transfection, the transfected HeLa cells with designated pEGFP-C1 based plasmids were incubated with Click-IT farnesylation alcohol azide (C10248, Invitrogen) for 14 h for labeling. Cell lysates were collected and immunoprecipitated using GFP-Trap®_A beads (Chromotek) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, followed by farnesyl detection using Alexa Fluor® 647, alkyne (A10278, Invitrogen). Protein samples were then separated with non-reducing 10% SDS-PAGE gels. After being fixed with methanol/acetic acid, the SDS-PAGE gels were scanned under a Typhoon imager.

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) assay
HeLa cells transfected with designated constructs were grown on glass-bottom dishes and cultured at 37 °C prior to analysis. Photobleaching experiments were performed using a Leica SP5 X Confocal Microscope (Leica Microsystems, Inc). All procedures were done at 37 °C. Confocal images were taken every three seconds for the first 40 images and every ten seconds for the next 80 images. Quantification was conducted using Leica SP5 software.
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